Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/996,467	ZAJAC, BOHDAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Callie E. Shosho	1714
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Callie E. Shosho.	(3)	
(2) Lynn Cargill.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 June 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
15		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE	NEGAL NATURE OF WHA	TWAS DISCUSSED.
See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHA	WAS DISCUSSED.
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. Port It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	The examiner will provide a □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	cant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Ms. Cargill in order to (i) insert continuity information in the specification and (ii) more clearly define claim 15 by amending the claim to reflect that the coating composition contains water. Support for amendment to claim 15 is found throughout the present specification as well as the original claims.